PATENT COOPERATION TREATY



Rec'd FOIL 22 MAR 2005

From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

PCT To: AKERS, Noel James 10 Churchfield Harpenden WRITTEN OPINION Hertfordshire AL5 3PP (PCT Rule 66) **GRANDE BRETAGNE** Date of mailing 06.10.2004 (day/month/year) Applicant's or agent's file reference REPLY DUE within 2 month(s) C20092PCT from the above date of mailing International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) International application No. PCT/GB 03/04191 26.09.2003 28.09.2002 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC B63B22/18 Applicant COOPER CAMERON CORPORATION et al. This written opinion is the first drawn up by this International Preliminary Examining Authority. 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: 2. \boxtimes Basis of the opinion II **Priority** Ш Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability \boxtimes IV Lack of unity of invention \boxtimes Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement VI Certain documents cited

The applicant is hereby invited to reply to this opinion.

See the time limit indicated above. The applicant may, before the expiration of that time limit, When?

request this Authority to grant an extension, see Rule 66.2(d).

Certain observations on the international application

Certain defects in the international application

By submitting a written reply, accompanied, where appropriate, by amendments, according to Rule 66.3.

For the form and the language of the amendments, see Rules 66.8 and 66.9.

For an additional opportunity to submit amendments, see Rule 66.4. Also:

For the examiner's obligation to consider amendments and/or arguments, see Rule 66.4 bis.

For an informal communication with the examiner, see Rule 66.6.

If no reply is filed, the international preliminary examination report will be established on the basis of this opinion.

4. The final date by which the international preliminary examination report must be established according to Rule 69.2 is: 28.01.2005

Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:



European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Authorized Officer

Moya, E

Formalities officer (incl. extension of time limits)

Hacker, S

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-7296



How?

WRITTEN OPINION

I.	Basis	of the	opinion
----	-------	--------	---------

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this opinion as "originally filed"):

	Description, Pages						
	1-3	8	as originally filed				
	Cla	Claims, Numbers					
	1-102		as originally filed				
	Dra	wings, Sheets					
	1/11-11/11		as originally filed				
2. With regard to the language , all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Auth language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.							
	The	ese elements were av	ailable or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:				
٠		the language of pub	anslation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)). lication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)). anslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under 3).				
3. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:							
		contained in the inte	rnational application in written form.				
		filed together with th	e international application in computer readable form.				
		furnished subsequer	ntly to this Authority in written form.				
		furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.					
	☐ The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.						
		The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.					
4.	. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:		esulted in the cancellation of:				
		the description,	pages:				
		the claims,	Nos.:				
		the drawings,	sheets:				
5.		☐ This opinion has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).					
6.	Additional observations, if necessary:						

IV. Lack of unity of invent	tion
-----------------------------	------

1.	1. In response to the invitation (Form PCT/IPEA/405) to restrict or pay additional fees, the applicant has:			
		restricted the claims.		
		paid additional fees.		
		paid additional fees under prot	est.	
	\boxtimes	neither restricted nor paid add	itional fees.	
2.				of unity of invention is not complied with for the following reasons invite the applicant to restrict or pay additional fees:
3.		Consequently, the following parts of the international application were the subject of international preliminary examination in establishing this opinion:		
		all parts.		
	⊠	the parts relating to claims No	s. 1-14 .	
V.	. Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement			
1.	Stat	ement	-	
	Nov	relty (N)	Claims	
	Inve	entive step (IS)	Claims	1-13
	Indu	ıstrial applicability (IA)	Claims	
2.	Cita	tions and explanations		

see separate sheet

Point III

1. The International Examination Authority agrees with the International Search Authority in that the present application lacks unity for the following reasons:

There are several groups of inventions:

1. Claims 1-14

Apparatus comprising

- an inner housing with two opposing inner housing ends,
- an outer housing with two opposing outer housing ends,
- the inner housing disposed fully within the outer housing and defining a cavity there between.
- structural filler within the cavity
- the structural filler consisting of spaced apart structural members,
- the structural members occupying less than 60% of the cavity.

2. Claims 15-23

Apparatus comprising

- an inner housing with two opposing inner housing ends,
- an outer housing with two opposing outer housing ends,
- the inner housing disposed fully within the outer housing and defining a cavity there between.
- a structural filler within the cavity,
- the structural filler comprising a void-free resinous phase.

3. Claims 24-45

Apparatus comprising

- an inner housing with two opposing inner housing ends,
- an outer housing with two opposing outer housing ends,
- the inner housing disposed fully within the outer housing and defining a cavity there between,
- a structural filler within the cavity,
- at least one of the housings comprising a fibre-reinforced matrix with fibres





extending from 25°-85° to the longitudinal axis of the apparatus.

4. Claims 46-58

A method for preparing an apparatus comprising

- forming an inner shell,
- applying a filler to the outer surface of the inner shell,
- forming an outer shell around the core material.

5. Claims 59-67

A method for preparing an apparatus comprising

- forming an inner shell,
- forming an outer shell,
- defining a cavity between the shells,
- filling the cavity with a filler.

6. Claims 68-87

A method for preparing an apparatus comprising

- forming an inner shell,
- applying a filler to the outer surface of the inner shell,
- forming an outer shell,
- heating an expanding the outer shell,
- locating concentrically both shells,
- allowing the outer shell to cool to mechanically engage the filler and the outer shell in an interference fit.

7. Claims 88-92

A method of deploying a buoyancy apparatus underwater comprising

- ballasting the apparatus to provide a level of buoyancy,
- positioning the apparatus at a desired location,
- withdrawing the liquid ballast from the interior of the apparatus.

8. Claims 93-96

A system for deballasting a buoyancy apparatus, comprising



- a cylinder,
- a piston moving reciprocally within the cylinder,
- a line connecting with the fluid tight cavity of the apparatus,
- a first non-return valve,
- a second non-return valve.

9. Claim 97

Apparatus comprising

- one or more shells enclosing a buoyancy cavity
- an integrity monitoring system with one or more gyroscopes

10. Claims 98-102

Apparatus comprising

- one or more shells enclosing a buoyancy cavity,
- one or more optical fibres with the shell,
- an interface indicating the level of strain in the shells.

The application contains several groups of inventions that do not contain any common technical features:

- Claims 1-87: apparatus or method for providing an apparatus comprising an inner housing, an outer housing, the inner housing fully within the outer housing defining a cavity, the cavity comprising a filler.
- Claims 88-92: a method of deploying a buoyancy apparatus underwater.
- Claims 93-96: a system for deballasting a buoyancy apparatus.
- Claim 97: apparatus comprising one or more shells enclosing a buoyancy cavity and with a monitoring system.
- Claims 98-102: apparatus comprising one or more shells, provided with optical fibres and an interface for retrieving data.

The subject matter of the several groups of inventions does comply a priori with the requirements of unity of invention set forth in Rule 13 PCT since there is not a



single common technical feature to all the groups of claims.

Further cases of lack of unity in the application:

Claims 1-87 present the following technical feature:

Apparatus (claims 1-45) or method (claims 45-87) for providing an apparatus, the apparatus comprising an inner housing or shell, an outer housing or shell, the inner housing or shell fully within the outer housing or shell defining a cavity there between, the cavity being occupied by a filler.

Document DE-A-101 14 872 discloses an apparatus comprising an inner housing or shell (10), an outer housing or shell (11), the inner housing or shell (10) being enclosed by the outer housing or shell (11) and defining a cavity there between, the cavity being occupied by a filler (13).

The common technical features are therefore not novel, thereby not complying a posteriori with the requirements of unity of invention set forth in Rule 13 PCT.

Point V

1. Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: US-A-3 598 275

D2: RU-C-2 115 586

D3: Robert Scott: "Fiberglass Boat Design and Construction"

1996, SNAME, NJ, USA, XP002266595

D4: DE-A-101 14 872

D5: US-A-5 096 526

D6: WO00/61980

- 2. The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1-14 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.
- 3. Document D1, which is considered to be the closest prior art, discloses an apparatus (33) for providing an enclosure in locations of elevated pressure, the



apparatus comprising an inner housing (24) body ans two opposing inner housing ends, an outer housing (23) comprising an outer housing body and two opposing outer ends (35), the inner housing (24) being disposed fully within the outer housing (23) defining an annular cavity there between, and a structural filler (38) within the cavity extending between the outer housing (23) and the inner housing (24), the structural filler comprising a plurality of spaced apart structural members (39) for transferring stress between spaced apart regions of the inner surface of the outer housing (23) to corresponding spaced apart regions of the outer surface of the inner housing (24), the structural members occupying a certain percentage of the volume of the cavity occupied by the structural filler (38).

The subject matter of claim 1 differs therefrom in that that particular percentage of the cavity volume occupied by the structural members constitutes less than 60% of the volume of the cavity.

Although D1 does not mention specifically any ratio of the members of the cavity, it appears that going to the value of claim one appears to be merely one of the multiple design choices that the skilled man would think of without the concourse of an inventive step, when trying to define the structural components. Furthermore the figures of D1 do show that ratio although not mentioned in the specification.

Article 33 (2) PCT is therefore not complied with.

4. The subject matter of dependent claims 2-13 do not involve an inventive step either, because the subject matter of claims 2-4 is also a possibility within the teachings of D1; the subject matter of claims 5 and 10 have already been disclosed in D3; the subject matter of claims 6-9 have are anticipated by document D5, or are obvious features, like the various possible materials of a honeycomb core; and because the subject matter of claims 11-13 has already been used in the pressure resistant elements of D6.

Article 33 (29 PCT is also not met with respect to those claims.

- 5. The combination of the features of dependent claim 14 is neither known from, nor rendered obvious by, the available prior art.
- 6. Contrary to the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, the relevant background art disclosed in the document D1 is not mentioned in the description, nor is this/these





documents identified therein.

Independent claim 1 is not in the two-part form in accordance with Rule 6.3(b) PCT, which in the present case would be appropriate, with those features known in combination from the prior art (document D1) being placed in the preamble (Rule 6.3(b)(I) PCT) and with the remaining features being included in the characterising part (Rule 6.3(b)(ii) PCT).

The features of the claims are not provided with reference signs placed in parentheses (Rule 6.2(b) PCT).